

Reviewer: _____

Application and Reviewer Information

Application
Applicant

Status
Reviewer Status

SECTION A: SCORING

1. QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE

Use 1-5 where 1=very poor; 5=excellent

- 1.1 Applicant's Skills for Project
- 1.2 Are there Apparent Expertise Gaps?
- 1.3 Additional Comments/Explanation
- 1.4 Score for this Section

Yes/no

2. BACKGROUND TO PROJECT

- 2.1 Project Justification
- 2.1.2 Justification of Project

Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely

--

2.2 Literature Review

Use 1-5 where 1=disagree completely; 5=I agree completely

- 2.2.1 Literature Review is Up-to-Date
- 2.2.2 Review Includes Global Perspective
- 2.2.3 Review is Relevant
- 2.2.4 Review Identifies Knowledge Gaps
- 2.2.5 Any Deficiencies in the Review
- 2.3 Score for this Section

3. SCIENTIFIC QUALITY OF PROJECT

- 3.1 Innovation/Originality of Science
- 3.1.1 New Knowledge to Science
- 3.1.2 New Data to Country/Region

Use 1-5 where 1=disagree completely; 5=I agree completely.

3.2 Project Objectives

Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely

- 3.2.1 Objectives are Clearly Stated
- 3.2.2 Objectives are Realistic

3.3 Hypothesis(es)/Research Questions

Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely.

- 3.3.1 Hypotheses/Questions testable
- 3.3.2 Hypotheses/Questions Obvious
- 3.3.3 Hypotheses too Speculative

Yes/no

3.4 Research Design & Data Analysis

Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely

- 3.4.1 Statistician consulted
- 3.4.2 Research Design Adequate
- 3.4.3 Data analysis adequate

Yes/no/not needed/no but should do

3.5 Methodologies

Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely

- 3.5.1 Objectives Adequately Covered
- 3.5.2 Methodologies Relate to Objectives
- 3.5.3 Activities Described Adequately

3.5.4 Provenance of Species Described	
3.5.5 Relevant Methodologies	
3.6 Biohazards, Ethical Issues	
3.6.1 Comments	
3.7 Score for this Section	

4. LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION

4.1 Project Time Frame	<i>Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely</i>
4.1.1 Time Frame Is Clear	
4.1.2 Reasonable Completion Time	
4.1.3 Duration <12 months	Yes/no/cannot say/not applicable

4.2 Budget	<i>Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely.</i>
4.2.1 Budget is Reasonable	
4.2.2 Items Are Justified and Explained	
4.2.3 Non-controlable Items Are Detailed	
4.3 Score for this Section	

5. RELEVANCE/IMPACT OF THE PROJECT

5.1 Scientific Impact	<i>Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely.</i>
5.1.1 Enhancing Applicant's Capacity	
5.2 Societal Relevance/Impact	<i>Use 1-5 where 1=I disagree completely; 5=I agree completely</i>
5.2.1 Addressing Societal Needs	
5.2.2 Relevant Stakeholders Involved	Yes/no/cannot say/not applicable
5.2.3 Likelihood to Yield Results	Yes/no/cannot say/not applicable
5.3 Score for this Section	

6. OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROJECT

6.1 Overall Score for the Application	
Comment	

SECTION B: QUALITATIVE JUDGEMENT

7 Comments Addressed if Revised	
8. Your Qualitative Judgement	
9. Your Recommendation to IFS	
Recommendation	Recommend/Not recommend, but resubmit/ Not recommend
Reason for Not Recommend	
10. Previous Knowledge of Applicant	

SECTION C: COMMENTS & SUGGESTIONS FOR THE APPLICANT

11. Comments and Suggestions	
11.1 Comments to the Applicant	
11.2 Suggested Contacts or Training	
11.3 Establish Contact?	Yes/no